MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) 1 United States Attorney 2 MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630) 3 Chief, Criminal Division 4 HANLEY CHEW (189985) Assistant United States Attorney 5 150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900 San Jose, CA 95113 6 Telephone: (408) 535-5061 Fax: (408) 535-5066 7 E-Mail: hanley.chew@usdoj.gov 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 SAN JOSE DIVISION 12 13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR 11-0507 EJD 14 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] 15 Plaintiff, ORDER VACATING MAY 14, 2012 STATUS CONFERENCE, SETTING 16 v. **AUGUST 27, 2012 STATÚS** 17 BARBARA MITCHELL, CONFERENCE AND EXCLUDING TIME FROM MAY 14, 2012 TO Defendant. **AUGUST 27, 2012, FROM** 18 CALCULATIONS UNDER THE 19 **SPEEDY TRIAL ACT (18 U.S.C. § 3161)** The parties hereby request that the Court enter this order vacating the status conference 20 scheduled for May 14, 2012, setting a further status conference in this matter for May 14, 2012, 21 and excluding time from May 14, 2012 through August 27, 2012. The parties, including the 22 defendant, stipulate as follows: 23 24 The defendant understands and agrees to the exclusion of time from calculations under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, from May 14, 2012 through August 27, 2012, based 25 26 upon the need for the defense counsel to investigate further the facts of the present case. The government has provided thousands of pages of discovery in the present case, and defense 27 28 STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER U.S. v. MITCHELL, No. CR 11-507EJD

counsel needs time to review the discovery, evaluate further possible defenses and motions available to the defendant. In addition, once defense counsel and defendant have completed their review of the discovery, they will meet with government counsel to discuss potential dispositions of this matter. If necessary, the parties may request that the Court refer them to a Settlement Conference.

2. The attorney for defendant joins in the request to exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, for the above reasons, and believes the exclusion of time is necessary for effective preparation of the defense; believes the exclusion is in the defendant's best interests; and further agrees that the exclusion under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, should be from May 14, 2012 through August 27, 2012.

Given these circumstances, the parties believe, and request that the Court find, that the ends of justice are served by excluding from calculations the period from May 14, 2012 through August 27, 2012, outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B)(iv).

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED: May 8, 2012

/s/ Varell L. Fuller VARELL L. FULLER

25

26

27

28

DATED: May 8, 2012

Hanley Chew

Assistant United States Attorney

[PROPOSIGN] ORDER

Having considered the stipulation of the parties, the Court finds that: (1) the defendant understands and agrees to the exclusion of time from calculations under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, from May 14, 2012 through August 27, 2012 based upon the need for the defense counsel to investigate further the facts of the present case, review the discovery that the government has already provided and evaluate further possible defenses and motions available to the defendant; (2) the exclusion of time is necessary for effective preparation of the defense and

STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER U.S. v. MITCHELL, No. CR 11-507EJD

is in the defendant's best interests; and (3) the ends of justice are served by excluding from calculations the period from May 14, 2012 through August 27, 2012. Accordingly, the Court further orders that (1) the status conference for May 14, 2012 is vacated; (2) a further status conference in this matter is set for August 27, 2012; and (3) the time from May 14, 2012 through August 27, 2012 is excluded from time calculations under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: May 8, 2012 THE HONORABLE EDWARD J. DAVILA United States Magistrate Judge

STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER U.S. v. MITCHELL, No. CR 11-507EJD